

**Verbatim Minutes of the Giant Mine Oversight Body Society**  
**ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING**  
**January 11, 2024, 10:00 A.M. (MT)**  
**Explorer Hotel, Kat D/E, and Zoom, Yellowknife, NT**

<b>Present</b>	<p><b>Giant Mine Oversight Board</b> Ken Hall – Acting Chair David Livingstone - Chair Graham Clinton - Director Mark Palmer - Director Marc Lange - Director Ben Nind – Executive Director Paul Green – Contractor</p> <p><b>North Slave Métis Alliance</b> Marc Whitford Alan Alex</p> <p><b>Yellowknives Dene First Nation</b> William Lines</p> <p><b>City of Yellowknife</b> Shelagh Montgomery</p> <p><b>Government of Canada (CIRNAC)</b> Natalie Plato Katherine Ross Chris MacInnis</p> <p><b>Government of the Northwest Territories</b> Erika Nyssonen Diep Duong</p> <p><b>Alternatives North</b> Michael Nabert Katharine Thomas</p> <p><b>Guest</b> Meera Basnyat, ERP Yellowknife Accounting</p>
----------------	---

## Welcome & Introductions

David: Okay folks, we will get started. I think everybody who is going to come to the meeting physically has arrived. There are a number of people on the Zoom call. For those on the Zoom call, congratulations, you have avoided minus 43 degrees, and we are all kind of envious at this point. It's so cold that the fire alarms went off in the hotel here last night, so some sitting around the table who are staying here in the hotel did not get a lot of sleep. We will try to keep things interesting and keep them awake.

I will just note that we are holding the Annual General Meeting of the Giant Mine Oversight Body Society. This afternoon will be the Semi-Annual Meeting. This morning is kind of a *pro forma* thing that we have to do to satisfy the Society's Act.

I will go around the room here and then to the Zoom. Ben?

Ben: Ben Nind, Executive Director, Giant Mine Oversight Board.

Paul: Paul Green, contractor to GMOB.

Katherine: Katherine Ross, Integration Manager, Giant Mine Remediation Project.

Natalie: Good morning. Natalie Plato, Giant Mine Remediation Project with CIRNAC for Canada.

Diep: Good morning. This is Diep Duong. I am with the GNWT Department of Environment and Climate Change.

Graeme: Graeme Clinton, Director of GMOB.

William: Good morning, everyone. William Lines, Yellowknives Dene.

Ken H: Morning. Ken Hall, Director in GMOB. Good morning, everybody.

David: We will go to the folks on Zoom. Chris first.

Chris: Hi, everyone. Chris MacInnis, Director of the Giant Project with CIRNAC. Nice to see everyone. Sorry it is so cold.

Ken F: Good morning, Ken Froese here, Director with GMOB, and it is minus 30 here, and tomorrow it is supposed to be minus 42.

David: We are exporting our cold weather, I guess. Michael?

Michael: Good morning, folks. Michael Nabert to represent Alternatives North.

David: Marc?

Marc L: Marc Lange, Director with GMOB.

David: I will let the rest announce themselves.

Mark P: Mark Palmer Director with GMOB.

Alan: Hi, this is Alan Alex from NSMA. Thanks

Katharine: Katharine Thomas with Alternatives North. Morning, everyone.

Shelagh: Morning, everybody. Shelagh Montgomery of the City of Yellowknife.

David: Have I missed anyone? Doesn't sound like it. Are you at home, Shelagh, or are you out on the lake?

Shelagh: I am in my house. I will see you this afternoon in person.

### **Selection of Chair**

David: Great. Welcome, everyone. The first order of business is to select a Chair for this AGM. I am going to invite Ken Hall to chair again if you would like?

Ken H: Sure.

David: Alright, there you go. It is a rare opportunity, like once a year, so you've got to grab it. Ken, over to you.

Ken H: Okay, thanks David. Confirmation of AGM Chair, we will see how that goes.

### **Approval of the Agenda and Meeting Minutes**

Ken H: Approval of the agenda. Does everyone have a copy? Is there anything to add, take away, or dispute? No? Okay, I guess we need to make a motion to approve the agenda.

David: I will move that.

Ken H: Thanks, David. A seconder? Diep, thank you.

Next on the agenda is approval of minutes from the AGM, December 5, 2022. The Semi-Annual Meeting we had in June, it was the Semi-Annual, right? Okay. Has everybody had a look at those minutes? Are there any issues with them?

Okay, could somebody move a motion to approve? Graeme, thank you.

A seconder? Erika, thank you. All in favour? Thank you.

### **GMOB 2023 Annual Activities Report**

Ken H: Okay, moving right along to the GMOB Chair Report. David will present the 2023 Annual Activities Report. Over to you.

David: I will just quickly go through some of the items and then turn it over to Ben for additional details. I am not going to read it. I need my glasses to read in the first place. I am not going to read through it. I will just point out that with GMOB progress on the recommendations of the 5-year review, I think we are making good progress on all of them. In some cases, we have completed the action item.

Recommendation 1: GMOB will continue to view the Project through several lenses, including engineering, socio-economic, and cultural. We are doing that.

Recommendation 2: Develop a dashboard or some other tracking tool so that the fate of each annual recommendation may be easily followed. We have done that. It is included as an appendix in the Annual Report now.

Recommendation 3: Consider ways to provide its collective input on an ongoing basis. I am not going to read that, but basically, the Board's policy is that people can speak as individuals at meetings and so on, but any Board positions need to be decided by the Board collectively.

Recommendation 4: Needs to publicize its actions to develop a permanent solution to the arsenic trioxide dust stored underground at the Giant Mine. We are certainly doing that, and we had the research meeting later in 2023.

Recommendation 5: Continue to improve its communication efforts with the public. We are continuing to try to do that. It is always a challenge, communication with the public. We are working with our Communication Strategy, continuing with improved public engagement as best we can.

Recommendation 6: Needs to develop an overall vision for the public repository of records. Again, we are working on that, continuing to add as we get more materials.

Recommendation 7: GMOB, the co-proponents, and Parties consider ways in which they can increase their collaboration in dealing with all aspects of the legacy of Giant Mine. Again, it is a work in progress, but we are moving forward on that.

That is it for the progress on recommendations. Ben, do you have anything to add?

Ben: Thank you. You have received the Annual Report of Activities. If there are any questions as far as details are concerned, I am more than happy to answer those questions.

Quickly going through, the report covers the budget and the allocation of how that budget looks in terms of both the core and the research grants that were given for that particular year and how the GICs, which are for the GMOB research program, how they sit currently.

The GMOB work plan is laid out, and again, this is standard for us in how we do that. What is of interest then is the GMOB board member terms, which are here. You will notice that in 2024, there are three board members whose terms will be coming up.

We have been busy. The document Paul Green has been heading up for us is essentially the regulatory review. The Board has been doing a lot of document reviews, so that is laid out here.

As far as monitoring, GMOB has been monitoring essentially the development of the Perpetual Care Plan, and of course the other documents that are coming out from the Project in terms of socio-economics, climate change initiatives, and greenhouse gas initiatives, etcetera.

The Education Module for Giant Mine, the last formal meeting that we had was in the spring, May 1, 2023. There really has been no movement on that going forward.

We have been very busy in terms of our own engagement and communications, as laid out here. If you take a look at the table, Appendix 3, we were up to 149 meetings this year, which is actually an increase over all of the years that we have been operating. So, there has been a lot of activity.

That is my update. I am more than willing to take questions.

Erika: Thanks, Ben. I have a question about the community survey and the status of that. I am trying to remember where that is at.

Ben: The community survey was completed. The final report was posted. What we have done is look at that community survey and have definitely taken it into consideration in terms of what the public is interested in, where the gaps are in terms of their knowledge about what is happening at the Project, and really starting to address it. That is why we increased a lot of the communication work on the research program, because people were very interested in that.

What we are starting to take a look at now are the legacy issues, because people are continually asking about the history of the mine. How did we get to where we are right now at this point? So, a lot of work has been put into a timeline for the Project or for

essentially the history of the mine itself. So, we are using that particular report in terms of our outreach and our communications and looking forward to how we can better that.

Ken H: Are there any other questions regarding the 2023 Activities Report?

### **Treasurer's Report**

Ken H: If not, we will move on to the Treasurer's Report, and I will turn it over to the treasurer, Ken Hall.

Oh, thank you, glad to be here. The Canadian Oxford Dictionary defines treasurer as a person appointed to administer the funds of a society or corporation, etcetera. It says nothing whatsoever about the person's need for qualifications in the accounting field or anything like that, so I fit the definition easily.

Having said that, we have the good fortune of having someone who is eminently qualified to speak about our budget, our accounting, and financial statement. That is Meera. I don't know if she is on yet.

Ben: I am just messaging her to come in. She was supposed to come in 5 minutes, but I will just see if I can get her on right now.

Michael: If I can interrupt for a quick second. There was also a question about what was said previously. You mentioned that the Education Module sort of seems to have stalled out. I am wondering if there is anything that we Parties can do to assist in getting that moving again. Is there anything new that we can do in the coming year to un-stall it?

Ben: I think you are asking that question of me, Michael?

Michael: Or anyone else who has a valuable answer.

Ben: From GMOB's perspective, GMOB has continued to say that we will support the development of the Education Module. We have gotten to a certain point with it, and it is just a matter of making sure that everybody who is coming to the table with their information is, number one, comfortable with the information to share, and number two, comfortable as a group moving forward on how that particular piece of educational material will be developed.

We essentially in the spring, came to the conclusion that work needed to be done by the individual Parties before we came to the table with something solid so that we could progress. GMOB has said at that time we will then engage a consultant to move the process forward.

So, yes, I think there is continued interest. It is just a matter of having the Parties all at the table at the same time comfortable with the initiative.

Michael: Excellent. I am glad to know there is not a specific logjam there. Thanks for letting me interrupt with a question out of order.

Erika: If I could just add to that, Ben, could you just elaborate what the expectation is from each party to prepare?

Ben: I think each party can answer that individually. It would be a matter of how the perspectives are laid out because you can say YKDFN, the North Slave Métis Alliance, the City of Yellowknife themselves, and GNWT need to have a perspective for their particular constituencies to bring forward. Of course, how that educational material then gets put together would be up to the contractor, but it would be up to each one of those individual parties to bring it forward. So, I think I would have to direct it to those parties to see where they are in terms of bringing that material to the table. So, I will turn it over to the Parties.

William: I think what Ben is getting at is that each of the Parties have a different perspective on the content of the Education Module. So, when he says be prepared, it is in the sense of be prepared of what you would like the Education Module to look like. The Yellowknives Dene are more than prepared, and we would be happy to meet in the coming weeks or months.

Ben: North Slave Métis Alliance, do you have a comment in that regard?

Alan: We don't have any comments at this point. As of now, one of our members is sitting on that committee, so I am not exactly sure about the updates for that in this committee right now.

Ben: Shelagh, do you have anything from the City in that regard?

Shelagh: No, I don't. I am just looking back again to your report where it said the last formal meeting was May 1<sup>st</sup>. Does the City sit on that whatever it is, committee or in those meetings? I don't recall ever even hearing about this really before.

Ben: Yes, the City has been invited and essentially represents the constituency of the rest of or the whole community I guess, of Yellowknife. They have been invited, but they have not come to the table yet.

Shelagh: Okay, so the invite has gone to who, to Sheila or Kerry? Not me. Sheila as in Sheila Bassi-Kellett?

Ben: Yes.

Shelagh: I will check in with Kerry about that because it is not something that I have been involved with thus far. I can imagine that it is something that would be of importance or interest to the City.

Ben: Okay, thank you.

Shelagh: I don't know if last May was in the middle of their strike. I can't remember when that happened.

Ben: I think one of the difficult things that GMOB finds itself in, we feel that sometimes we are put in the place of actually coordinating this. I don't know if it has been defined that GMOB is actually coordinating. We are more than happy to be there to help and have offered the services of a consultant, or to pay for the services of a consultant to be able to help that initiative, but I am not sure we are coordinating. I have to say that Nicole has been very good from CIRNAC's office in bringing people together and trying to get this initiative off the ground. Kudos to her for doing that. I expect something will be arranged in the spring to that effect.

Shelagh: Okay. Thanks for that. I will probably follow-up with you, Ben, about this or chat with you this afternoon.

Ben: Okay, thank you.

Erika: Just quickly before Meera goes, Ben, I am taking this as support. Everyone is showing support that we get this rolling again. You are sort of backing away from the herding the cats role. So, maybe the Project Team will take it on our own accord to set up a meeting, like through Nicole?

Natalie: We have certainly taken the lead with GMOB to coordinate this, so thank you, but there is really, to be quite frank, very little interest. We have expended a lot of energy and efforts, and at this point, we have other things we could be doing. If I hear a commitment from everyone here that we want to give it another shot we will, but frankly, we have been giving it a shot for a very long time, and there has been very little interest.

Nicole has taken on an acting role as our Community Consultation Officer, so I am not sure of her availability to take this on until her acting is done in the summer. Thank you.

Ken H: Okay, nothing further on that? I see Meera has joined us. Welcome, Meera. I turn it over to your very capable hands to walk us through the financial statement as of March 2023.

Meera: Thank you. Thank you, Ben. Good morning, everyone. I am Meera Basnyat representing EPR Yellowknife Accounting Professional Corporation. We have recently completed our audit of the financial statements for the year ending March 31, 2023. As indicated in our Independent Auditor's Report, with the results of our examination, we were able to give our clean opinion on the financial statements.



I will just quickly read the first line of the opinion that we have given, and I hope you all have a copy of the financial statements. They are with you. I am just going to read the first paragraph where we have said we have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Giant Mine Oversight Body Society, which comprised the Statement of Financial Position as of March 31, 2023, and the Statement of Operation, the Statement of Changes in Net Assets, Statement of Cash Flows for the year then ended, and Notes to the Financial Statements, including a Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly in all respects, the financial position of the Society as of March 31, 2023, and the result of its operation and cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian Accounting Standards for not-for-profit organizations.

Basically, we did not find any significant issues of anything. It was just a clean audit report. You can go through all the other details of the Audit Report, the first page of the financial statements. If there are any questions, you can always ask me.

Let me quickly go through the Statement of Operation, which is on Statement 2. The Statement of Operation combines both Core and Research. The total revenue for fiscal year, March 31, 2023, was \$1,011,250.00. The total expense was \$1,026,171 with a deficit of \$14,921.00 for the fiscal year, March 31, 2023.

If you can go to Statement 3, which is the Changes in Net Assets, you can see we have separated Core Fund and Research Fund there. You can see the balance, equity on the Research Fund was \$585,628.00. This year's deficit for the Research Fund was \$16,788.00. The ending equity for the Research Fund was \$568,840.00.

So, this \$568,840.00, if you can go to Statement 1, we can see here the financial position of the Society as of March 31, 2023. For the Research Fund, cash was \$54,593.00 as of March 31, 2023. Short-term investment GIC, \$503,693.00 was invested in GIC. Then there was a short due from the General Account, which I believe after the year ended, was transferred from the Core Fund. We advised that \$10,554.00 to be transferred from the Core Fund to the Research Fund. At that time, it was due from the General Fund.

Then for the Core Fund, cash was \$30,195.00. GST Receivables was \$18,083.00 and the Prepaid Expense was \$17,971.00. Also, we have segregated the Core and the Research income and expense. You will see it at the end of the last page of the financial statement if you go on Schedule 1. On Schedule 2, there you can see the breakdown of the revenues and expense based on the Core Fund and the Research Fund.

The Core Fund revenue was \$721,141.00, and the expense was \$727,276.00, with a small surplus of \$1,865.00. For the Research Fund, there was a revenue of \$282,109.00,

and total expense was \$298,897.00, with a total deficit of \$16,788.00 for the Research Fund.

You can have a detailed look of the financial statements, and any questions you can ask me, or you can email me later. I will always be there to answer any questions.

Ken H: Questions? Natalie.

Natalie: First to confirm, it says this is for the year ended March 31, 2023, so you are accounting on the same calendar year as government does. It is a fiscal year not a calendar year?

Meera: No, it is a fiscal year, and it does coincide with the government.

Natalie: Perfect, so then my question is your revenues do not match what we funded you. Is there a reason for that, or am I misunderstanding, which is highly likely? I am not an accountant. For instance, for 2023, the revenues are \$1,011,253.00, whereas the funding was...let me just pull up the number...1005. Then similarly for 2002, the numbers don't quite align with my numbers as well.

Meera: Sorry, we have \$1,011,253.00, right?

Natalie: I'm sorry. That should be confirmed. That would be from March 2022 to March 31, 2023, right?

Meera: Yes. This will be from April 1, 2022 to March 31, 2023.

Natalie: So, it is actually slightly higher than what I had, so perhaps there is interest you are saying? We funded \$1,005,751.00.

Meera: If you can look at the Statement of Operation, you will see interest earned, \$2,733.00. Then we have also recorded amortization of deferred capital contributions for another \$2,765.00. Is there a difference?

Natalie: No, you are right actually, sorry. It was just me not reading it fully. Thanks very much.

Meera: No problem.

Ken H: Questions? Diep.

Diep: Hi, I have a question. I am curious in the Statement of Operations, Core and Research, and the Expenditures, and the Wages and Benefits. What does that pay for at GMOB?

Meera: Oh, the wages and benefits of \$219,000.00?

Diep: Yes. Because honoraria is separate, right?

Meera: Give me one second. I will look at the breakdown here.

Ben: Do you want me to start to answer that, Meera?

Meera: You can, but I am also looking. Yeah, go ahead.

Ben: We have a contract with TERRE-NET. Most all of that, besides the honoraria, is for the TERRE-NET contract for the researchers.

Diep: Okay, would that not be in Contracts and Consulting Fees?

Meera: I am looking at it. The total wages was \$165,277.00. Then there is CPP, EI, workers' compensation expenses, pension expenses, benefits. So total wages, \$194,000.00. A little bit of honoraria was also included on that \$219,000.00. Total honoraria was 131. Give me one second. \$194,000.00 was the wages actually.

Ben: If you take a look at Schedule 2, page 11, it shows you the breakdown for the Research Fund. Contract Services is \$212,000.00. Honoraria is 17.

Meera: So, the 190 wages, that includes the wages and benefit, and some of the honoraria.

Diep: Sorry, can I clarify my question? What I am curious about is there are Contracts and Consulting Fees, which add up to \$124,000.00. There is Honoraria, which adds up to \$174,000.00, and then there is Wages and Benefits, which add up to \$219,000.00 and change. Schedule 2, is it being combined and separated, because it does not seem to match up.

Meera: On Schedule 2, contract was \$212...Let me go back to the statement. Oh, so what you are saying on the Main Statement, Total Contract and Consulting was \$424, so what is the breakdown of that, right?

Diep: Yeah, so I see that you have Contracts and Consulting Fees on the Main Schedule. That says \$424,000.00. But then in Schedule 2, you've got Contract Services, which is \$212,000.00. Then the Wages there is \$28,000.00, but the Wages in the Main Schedule is \$219,000.00.

Meera: The Main \$219,000.00 wages is \$28,311.00 reported on the Research Fund. The general fund, the core fund of wages, 199.63. \$219,000.00, that is the breakdown of wages, right? So, on Schedule 1, Wages and Benefits of \$119,963.00 plus Wages and Benefits of \$28,311.00 on Schedule 2. It comes to \$219,274.00. Is that making sense?

Diep: Okay, I understand, TERRE-NET contracts. So, TERRE-NET contracts should be lumped under the Contracts and Consulting Fees category, correct?

Meera: Yes.

Diep: Okay, the Wages and Benefits, is that for Ben and other people who work, the team? Is that what that is for?

Meera: Yes.

Diep: Okay.

Meera: Those are just the Wages, Benefits, Other Benefits.

Diep: Okay, for GMOB administration staff? Okay. Then Honoraria is for GMOB Directors, and the Contracts and Consulting Fees are for contracts that we set up?

Meera: Yes.

Diep: Okay. Sorry, I have another question. Maybe you can clarify for me. Wages and Benefits is for the administration for GMOB. How many staff are there at GMOB?

Ben: We have Laurie Nowakowski who comes in and out as temporary staff and myself. The rest are all contracts.

Ken H: Diep, does that answer your question?

Diep: Yes, thanks.

Ken H: Are there any further questions on the financial statements?

Okay, thank you for making this happen. Ben works closely with Meera, and any accolades for a clean financial statement need to go to those two individuals. I want to thank them again for their hard work and diligence. Thanks, Meera.

Meera: Thank you, and thank you, everyone.

Ben: Thank you, Meera. Appreciate it.

Meera: Okay, bye.

### **Confirmation of GMOB Directors**

Ken H: Okay, moving right along to Item #7, Confirmation of GMOB Directors. We have three Directors whose term is coming up this year in 2024. I am not quite sure what people are or if you are going to continue until the end of your term. Beyond that, I don't think

we have much to say. I am sure you will be working with your nominating party over the coming months to make a decision on where we go from here. Erika?

Erika: I wonder if we could set a deadline perhaps, for Directors to notify their respective...I don't know the right terminology, nomination party or appointee party. It could prepare us if there is a change in that interest.

Ken H: Yeah, I appreciate the Parties needing some significant advance in order to find a replacement if that is the case. What do you suggest, three months? More? I am just throwing a number out there. Go ahead, Natalie.

Natalie: I think what Erika is getting at, if it is going to be changed, one thing we do discuss at this table is the expertise of new Directors, or what is lacking, or what is missing. So, I am not sure when the next meeting would be. I see the terms are all up August 31<sup>st</sup>, so I think there would be a meeting in advance of that, the Semi-Annual. Perhaps we could defer it to that point and then have the discussion. If there are any changes, we could talk about what expertise we should look for or recommend at that point. It is just a suggestion at this point. Thank you.

Ken H: Okay, I guess I went a little bit too far too fast. Can I ask the current directors to confirm that they will commit to fulfilling their current term? No one is bailing tomorrow or the next day? Maybe we can ask the directors to confirm, starting with David.

David: Yeah, I will complete the term.

Ken H: Graeme?

Graeme: Yes, I will continue.

Ken H: Thank you. Mark Palmer?

Mark: Yep, I am continuing for the term.

Ken H: Thank you. Marc Lange?

Marc L: Yeah, continuing for the term.

Ken H: Thank you. Ken Froese?

Ken F: Yes, continuing for the term.

Ken H: Thank you, and Ken Hall? Yes, continuing for the rest of my term. Thank you all. Sorry, I was thinking of other things while you were speaking, Natalie. Are we going to come up with a date? You said you were talking about looking for...sorry for asking you to repeat it. You were looking for some discussion on expertise that...

Natalie: Sorry, Ken. Yes, my suggestion was to defer to it to the next meeting when we would have confirmation if there was a change. Then this group could have a conversation at that time on a recommendation or some advice to whatever the vacant party is on what expertise we should look for to complement this group. I don't know if that is too late. I guess that would be in May. It is usually the next meeting, but that was what I was suggesting.

Ken H: I think that is a good suggestion. Thank you.

### **Additional Business & Next Meeting**

Ken H: Item #8: Additional Business. Does anybody have anything they want to raise or anything we have not covered? Go ahead, Erika.

Erika: Since we are talking about terms and things like that here now, we were going to raise it this afternoon, but the term of the Environmental Agreement is coming up in 2025. I am just wondering if...well given your reaction, Ken, maybe that is a surprise. Also for us, it is like wow, time has really gone fast. I just want to confirm, is that actually the end of the term, and should we start thinking about how we would renew the Environmental Agreement and what that process might look like?

Ken H: Time flies whether you are having fun or not, but David, do you want to speak to that?

David: I don't think there is an endpoint to the Environmental Agreement. It is indeterminate. As long as there is a need for the Agreement and the Oversight Board, it continues. It would require the agreement of all the Parties to terminate the Agreement, but I think it is basically indeterminate.

Erika: Thank you. I think I am getting confused with our Cooperation Agreement, which is a 10-year term, and I just put it on that. Okay, that is great. Then no sweat.

Ken H: Can't get rid of us that easily. Nice try. Is there anything additional under Additional Business?

*(Pause)*

Hearing nothing, the next meeting is the Semi-Annual in May, you said. Oh, AGM. The AGM is normally held in November. For various reasons, it was postponed to January. Should we hold it next November, as per usual and take it from there?

Okay, do we have a date? I don't have a calendar. Well, let's just say November, and we will canvas the Parties.

Alright, if there is nothing, we shall adjourn the AGM. I don't think we need a motion for that, and we are done. Now you can all tell us what you really think.

David: Just so people know, there will be lunch served here. If you want to go do something else and then come back, there will be plenty of food. For those on the Zoom call, it will be virtual for you. The regular Semi-Annual Meeting will start at 1:00 p.m. Thanks everyone.

Michael: If the lunch is virtual, maybe you can give us the full experience by taking pictures of the attractive food that I don't get to eat.

Erika? Michael, you could just head on over and brave the cold. It's just a few blocks away.

Ken H: Thanks, everyone.

### **Meeting Adjourned**



December 10, 2024

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mark Palmer, Interim Chair  
Giant Mine Oversight Board

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

### **Motions**

**Motion:** Moved: D. Livingstone moved to approve the agenda.

**Seconded:** Diep Duong

**Motion carried.**

**Motion: Moved:** G. Clinton moved approval of the GMOB Verbatim AGM Minutes, December 05, 2022.

**Seconded:** E. Nyssonen

**Motion carried.**

### **Action Items**

1. **Action Item** GMOB to share, at the spring 2024 Semi-Annual meeting, the expertise they need on the Board so that this can be addressed for Board nominations slated for August 2024. (page 13)