

**GIANT MINE OVERSIGHT BODY SOCIETY (GMOBS)  
ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING (AGM)  
November 17, 2017, 9:00 a.m. (MT)  
Champagne Room, Upstairs 50-50 Mall, Yellowknife, NT**

**IN ATTENDANCE:**

|                |   |
|----------------|---|
| <b>Present</b> | <u>Giant Mine Oversight Board</u><br>Kathy Racher – Chair<br>Tony Brown – Director<br>Ken Froese – Director<br>Ken Hall – Director<br>David Livingstone – Director<br>Ginger Stones – Director<br>Ben Nind – Executive Director<br>Letitia Pokiak – Office Administrator<br><br><u>North Slave Metis Alliance</u><br>Nicole Goodman<br><br><u>Yellowknives Dene First Nation</u><br>Johanne Black<br>William Lines<br><br><u>City of Yellowknife</u><br>Mayor Mark Heyck<br>Sheila Bassi-Kellett<br><br><u>Government of Canada (INAC)</u><br>Aaron Braumberger<br>Natalie Plato<br><br><u>Government of the Northwest Territories</u><br>Lisa Dyer<br>Erika Nyysönen |
|----------------|---|

**Introduction and Overview**

Kathy: It's Kathy here. You'll notice today we've stepped it up a notch. We have microphones for people to use. The idea is that, and I think Ben's already let everyone know, that we are going to record the meeting today, just so we could have the notes transcribed. It has been challenging doing the notes up and doing them right. Trying to look through and paraphrase what other people say is not

always the best way to do it. So we thought we would record it and have it transcribed just for the record.

The downside of that is that we still have some old-school technology, and we have to use microphones when we're speaking. So it will be helpful if you say your name before you speak, so the poor person who has to transcribe it has an idea of who we all are. Thank you all for coming today in this nice space. You should never go hungry with a giant scone in your belly, and lots of tea and coffee.

David: Where's the champagne?

(Laughter)

Kathy: I know. I was joking when Ben sent out the agendas, and it said "Champagne Room" at the top of every one. I was very thirsty by the end of looking through the meeting materials. So far none has emerged from those cupboards over there sadly.

We have two meetings to do today to fulfill our responsibilities under the Societies Act and for the Environmental Agreement. We'll get to those in a moment, but before we do, it would be great, because we have some new faces here, if everyone could just say their name, where they're from, and anything else you want to say. We'll start over here.

David: David Livingstone, one of the Directors on the Board.

Ken F: Ken Froese, one of the Directors on the Board.

Ben: Ben Nind, ED Giant Mine Oversight Board.

William: William Lines, Yellowknives Dene.

Johanne: Johanne Black, Yellowknives Dene.

Mark: Mark Heyck, Mayor with the City of Yellowknife.

Sheila: Good morning, everyone. Sheila Bassi-Kellett, City Administrator, City of Yellowknife.

Lisa: Good morning. Lisa Dyer. I'm Director of Environment in Environment and Natural Resources, and I'm also a member of the Project Team.

Tony: Tony Brown, member of the Oversight Board.

- Erika: Erika Nyyssonen, Department of the Environment and Natural Resources on the Project.
- Aaron: Aaron Braumberger with INAC on the Project Team, the Engagement Manager for the Project.
- Natalie: Natalie Plato, Deputy Director, Giant Mine Remediation Project.
- Ken Hall: Good morning. I'm Ken Hall, on the Board.
- Nicole: Nicole Goodman, North Slave Métis Alliance.
- Ginger: Ginger Stones, one of the Directors on the Board.
- Kathy: Great. Thanks, everyone. Before we get into our meetings, I just wanted to set the stage for the meetings today. I work on a lot of projects up here in the North, over the last almost-20 years. I must say, this is one of my favourites for a lot of reasons, but one reason is because everyone who comes into our office, everyone we speak to on the Project, and all the meetings, there are a lot of passionate people – people passionate about what they are doing and personally committed to getting the best outcomes from this project. This project matters to people.

Of course, when we are all talking about things that matter to us, sometimes tensions can get high in the room, but I just thought I'd set the stage by acknowledging that and just ask that everyone, when tensions get high, and they will always, that we all can remain professional and respectful and open to other people's perspectives. I think we are all pulling in the same direction, but we often have different perspectives on the best way to get from here to there, so we just need to remain open and respectful, and have some good conversations today.

Two meetings, as I said: The first meeting is the Annual General Meeting, and Ben constantly has to remind me why we do this and what it's all about. So I'm going to read the notes from that. I guess this is really the business part of the meeting. We are a Society – there's a Societies Act, and we have to have this Annual General Meeting. This meeting is about the business of what we did in our finances and confirming the Directors for the next year. We've only had one of these meetings so far. This is our second.

There are a number of different materials you would have received for this meeting. We're not going to go through them all in detail, but I will point them out. There's an agenda. There are the minutes from last year's meeting. There

are financial statements, which I also struggle to understand, but apparently they are in good order. There are two sets of what we call our Activity Reports. The reason there are two sets of them is that at the last Semi-Annual Meeting, we did a wrap-up of our activities. So there is an Activity Report from November 2016 to May 2017, and then May 2017 till today, till November. It is really fascinating reading, and I encourage everyone to do that.

We all have an agenda, and I think the first order of business is to just ask if anyone has anything they need to add to the Annual General Meeting agenda. If not, if I could get a motion for approval... First of all, does anyone have anything to add to the agenda for the Annual General Meeting?

(Pause)

Sure, go ahead, Johanne.

Johanne: I just...I want to add something in here, and I'm not too sure if it's the appropriate place to put it, but maybe somebody can tell me if it is or not. One of the things in terms of Giant Mine and the Yellowknives Dene in terms of the relationship going forward is in order for that to become healthy and working together in partnership, it would be nice if at some point in time reconciliation could be talked about. How that comes about, I'm not too sure, but working together towards that would be nice, and to begin to start those discussions.

Kathy: Thanks for that, Johanne. I don't know if there was a particularly perfect spot for that, so that was the perfect spot. I can say that for our Board, we've been meeting the last four days together, which is why we're sitting in different places now. We're getting a little tired of each other, but certainly the reconciliation was something that has been part of our meetings. As it comes up in this meeting, we should think about that and how best to address that, or to have a specific conversation about that, because I'm not sure. Thank you.

Any other opening comments before we get started? Now that I have a microphone, I'm just going to dominate everybody. You have to put your hands up, and I'll make sure that you get a chance to talk.

### **Motion to Approve Agenda**

Kathy: Approval of the agenda: Could I get a motion to approve the agenda? Motion from Mark?

Mark: Mark Heyck, and I'll move approval of the agenda.

Kathy: And a seconder?

Ken F: I'll second.

### **Motion to Approve AGM November 9, 2016 Meeting Minutes**

Kathy: Excellent. Thank you. The next part of the meeting is to approve the AGM minutes from November 2016. Before we do that, I'll just direct your attention to the last page where we have action items. The last page of all our minutes we have action items. The only action item we had from the Annual General Meeting was to make a correction in the GMOB Activities Report for 2015 and 2016, and I believe that correction was made. Yes, Ben said it was made. That Activity Report – the corrected one – is posted on our website. Did anyone have any issues or changes for the minutes from November 2016, because I'm sure you can all remember exactly what happened?

(Laughter & Pause)

Okay, I'm not seeing any, so can I ask for a motion to approve the minutes from November 2016?

Natalie: I'll move that.

Kathy: Okay, and someone to second?

Ginger: I'll second.

### **Overview of Activity Reports**

Kathy: Great. Thank you. So as I said, part of the Annual General Meeting is we have to be clear on what our activities were as the Board, and it's all written in the Activity Reports. I'm just going to give you a brief overview and summary in case you didn't have a chance to read the Activity Reports.

Basically our activities over this last year, some of the things that we did - we had an open house in January 2017, which many of you attended. We released the first of our annual reports that we called the Establishment Report. That was released in April 2017. We got our website launched and off. We completed the office public information panels, so when people come into the office, they can learn all about the Giant Mine Project and about GMOB.

We have continued to develop some of our public engagement tools. We are still working on a 3-D model of the whole area that will show the relationship between the lakes and the communities and the underground of both Con and Giant, so people can get some perspective on how the underground sits with respect to where we all have our houses. We also had a little project to get some drone footage of the site so that when people come in to the office or when we give presentations, we can also use that. You can basically on a computer screen, do a fly-by of the site. If any of you are interested, come on by the office to take a tour without having to get cold. We also hosted our first public meeting in May 2017, which I think most of you attended.

Some other things: The Board members did a lot of reviews and provided comments on several documents, including documents about surface design and engagement, the Human Health Risk Assessment Report, the Health Effects Monitoring Program Communications Plan, a quantitative risk assessment – there was a first meeting on that. We provided some comments about communications and engagement. The report on Long-Term Funding Options came out in May 2017, and I think we're still in ongoing discussions on that. The Labour Resource Study was published in 2016, and we've been quite actively involved in reviewing that and talking to the Team about that.

There is the Giant Mine Project Stope Stabilization Plan that's part of the land use permits and water license – the water license the Project holds to do some of that work. The Baker Creek Diversion Alternatives Evaluation: We are involved in that and gave some detailed comments. We've also reviewed independent peer review panel reports and the Annual Profile Risk Assessment over this past year.

In terms of our reports and presentations or workshops that we've done, for the research program, we released the State of Knowledge Review for arsenic dust management strategies, and also with that a plain-language summary of that report. We'll talk a bit more about that in the Semi-Annual Meeting. We also had a public presentation of the main results of that report in October of 2017.

A couple of weeks ago, GMOB held a Research Program Workshop, and this workshop brought together participants from various research institutions across the country to help us think of models – program models – for how we're going to run our own research program. Again, we'll give you more details about that at the Semi-Annual Meeting later on.

We've been asked to do a number of presentations to talk about what GMOB does and how it fits in. We've talked to the Science Committee for Wilfrid Laurier University. We were at the NAPEG Conference for professional engineers and geoscientists. We were asked to speak to a Governor General leadership tour,

and also another leadership tour that was run by the Canadian Northern Economic Development Agency.

In the last two days, Tuesday and Wednesday, in this area we held our own little workshop to help us write our Annual Report. Last year, our report and the recommendations in it rose organically, but we're trying to get our act together this time. We had an actual workshop to work out what the format of the report would be and to start formulating what our observations and recommendations will be.

Engagement: Overall, since our last Semi-Annual Meeting, which was in May, we've attended – GMOB or staff have attended - 33 formal meetings. For every meeting that we do, the Board members or staff are required to fill out a form or meeting evaluation, which we keep in our records so that at the end of the year when we are formulating recommendations and looking at our Annual Report, we can remember what we did and how we felt about it in the time that we did it.

That's a lot of information. Any questions about the activities of GMOB in the last year?

### **Review of Financial Statements**

Kathy: Alright, then we'll move on to... as I said, this is really about reporting on our activities. The discussion and thoughts, opinions, et cetera we can talk more about at the next meeting. The next part of it are the financial statements of the Board. For that, I'm going to pass it to Tony, our treasurer.

Tony: Thanks, Kathy. Tony Brown with the Oversight Board. I believe the audited financial statements were provided around the table. The document that you have is the full Independent Auditor's Report, but just citing their overall conclusion, and I'll quote – the auditor, by the way was Crowe MacKay.

They state, "In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of Giant Mine Oversight Body Society as of March 31, 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian Accounting Standards for not-for-profit organizations." Paraphrasing, they are indicating that our financial statements are accurate and consistent with the applicable requirements.

With respect to our financial status, in that audited financial statement, you'll see on page 12, there were contributions repayable from 2016-2017 of \$98,890.00. Then in the year prior, 2015-2016, that total was \$108,868.00, for

a total of to date, \$205,758.00. So you could look at that as our cumulative surplus for operations to date.

The annual budget last year, 2017-2018 for the Board was a total of \$849,915.00, consistent with the original contribution agreement and the inflation factors that are provided therein. This last year, the inflation adjustment, the CPI adjustment, was 1% for the NWT, so that's the incremental amount of our budget relative to the original contribution agreement for the last fiscal year.

Of that total budget, approximately 79% or \$669,630.00 was our core operational budget. Twenty-one (21%) of the total, or \$180,285.00 is the amount allocated to the research portion of our mandate. As everyone will recall from the Environmental Agreement, there are provisions in the Agreement stipulating that a mechanism should be put in place, such that all surplus funds at the end of a fiscal year are to be returned – well, currently all fiscal funds are to be returned to INAC at the end of the year, but in the Environmental Agreement, there are provisions to effectively rollover those funds year to year. Those provisions, INAC is still working to develop a mechanism to accomplish that.

In the interim, we have been returning the funds on an annual basis, but they are accruing with time. Earlier I indicated that a total of \$205,758.00 has been returned. Those are the funds that, in essence, would be accessible to the Board in order to implement our mandate. As I indicated, as of today, the rollover provision is not in place. GMOB and INAC have agreed that the total surplus is the \$206,000.00. So that's the synopsis as of now.

Of note, we heard yesterday from INAC that their revised Treasury Board submission is in process of being submitted relatively soon. I don't recall the exact date, but within that submission, the mechanisms are being put in place to allow for that rollover provision. So I'll pause here, if there are any questions on the financial status?

(Pause)

None? Okay, thank you very much.

### **Motion to Approve Financial Statements**

Kathy: Okay, do we need a motion to approve the financial statements? Yes, I need a motion to approve the GMOB Society financial statements.

Mark: I'll move that.



Kathy: Can I get a seconder?

Ken F: I'll second that.

### **Verbal Confirmation of GMOB Directors for the Coming Year**

Kathy: Great. Thank you. Okay, next is to confirm the directors for GMOB for the coming year. As of last year, we just asked for a verbal commitment from each of the GMOB Directors. So I'll start with myself, Kathy Racher, I confirm that I will continue as a Director for the next year.

David: David Livingstone, yeah I confirm as well. I'll just note in the Annual General Meeting report from last year, in attendance we had everybody. Regrets, there were none. I would suggest that as a Director, I have no regrets either.

(Laughter)

Kathy: Can you sing that?

David: No, I cannot.

(Laughter)

Tony: He does it his way, by the way.

(Laughter)

Ken F: This is Ken Froese. I confirm, as well, that I will be a Director for the next year.

Tony: Tony Brown. I also confirm that I will continue to serve as a Director for the next year.

Ken Hall: It's Ken Hall. I'm in. I'll confirm that I'll be here for the next year.

Ginger: Ginger Stones. I confirm I will continue as a Director for the next year.

Kathy: Great. Thanks everyone. In terms of regrets, I did forget to mention that I personally don't have a few either, but Alternatives North was unable to make it today. Okay, excellent. Additional business – is there any additional business to do with the Annual General Meeting today?

## Discussion Regarding Reconciliation

Mark: Johanne had brought up the issue of reconciliation that we're going to address to some extent. Yes? No?

Kathy: Okay, yes I'm not sure how to do that. Was that a general statement, or do you want...?

Johanne: That was a general statement. Sorry, so nobody seems to talk about reconciliation with the Yellowknives. So I think in order for us to get along and work together and be a partner, I believe that some talks should be started towards reconciliation. It has never been addressed, and I think it is owing and it's time. It has been way too long, and I think the time is now. I think that in terms of the support for reconciliation, I believe we have the backing of the general public as well as other members that are in the Legislative Assembly. So I think that we should start talking about it. How do we begin talking about it? I'm not too sure. When? Where? I don't know, but I believe we should start talking and we work together.

Erika: I'll just add to that. Johanne and I had talked a little bit about ways that we could explore that, so we reached out to a professor at Simon Fraser to see. Aaron and I had a chat – now her name, I completely forgot. Johanne, what was the professor's name at Simon Fraser? I've totally forgotten.

Anyway she gives workshops on how to merge or how to look at socio-economic benefits and how that can be a tool for reconciliation. She submitted a proposal to us, and Aaron and I wanted to just get a bit more understanding of what that workshop really would be and how we could tailor it to sort of meet what the Project would want to do or what we want to learn and YKDFN. That really was driven by Johanne and William's suggestion. We haven't heard back from her on those details, but that is definitely something that GNWT really wants to support, and the Project as well, but GNWT would sort-of lead that contract to get that going.

Another thing that we looked at, and Johanne had also mentioned it was the blanket exercise. We did some research to find out who offers those kinds of courses. We've heard back from them, and we have some names. So that was something that we just sort-of talked about for the Project, but maybe it's something more so for the Parties. Maybe it's something that could be broader, and it's for GMOB and all the signatories to the Agreement or something. We had just talked about the Project, but maybe we can expand that. Those are a couple of ways that we have been looking at exploring what is reconciliation.

The work that Aaron and I have been doing with YKDFN on trying to understand the constraints of capacity for socioeconomic officers or that linkage with the community as a way as well that we can – like can reconciliation fit within those opportunities for jobs and training and stuff like that? Anyway, I know you guys have talked about ways to explore that more, and I look forward to hearing more about that. Even with the fire feeding ceremony, can that be expanded? Shouldn't more people be coming to that? How can that be changed? So definitely it's a priority for the Project. Absolutely I know Lisa and Natalie support this, so yeah, let's start talking and brainstorming. I don't think it's something that just fits nicely within a box and this is what it is.

Anyway, I just wanted to mention those couple of initiatives we have looked at, and so this is just a nice little push to say, "Get on it." Let's get some more feedback on the workshop and this blanket exercise. That's something that can be really powerful and something that we can easily take part of.

Johanne: Thank you, Erika. Yes, I really do appreciate the initial work that we've done just to start talking about reconciliation. I really do appreciate it, and the Yellowknives do appreciate it as well. I know that time flies, and it goes real fast. My fear is that in terms of reconciliation, it can be in the form of economic development. My fear is that because time flies by fast, we have one person who is looking after the project on behalf of the Yellowknives, which is a huge and vast project. I'm fearful that we may not have much inclusion into socioeconomics and your social responsibilities to the public and as well to the Yellowknives.

Aaron: It's Aaron with the Project. Johanne, you and I and others have talked about the socioeconomic strategy and what we're trying to accomplish there. I understand your concern about the opportunities that should flow to the YKDFN memberships. I hope to continue the dialogue that we've had with yourselves and with Margaret and Nora and others within your community to continue to strengthen that partnership that I certainly feel that we've started to build over the last couple of months when our group has gotten together.

That can take many forms. It can take the form of the activities we were talking about just briefly here about the blanket ceremonies or working towards reconciliation. I mean the Minister's mandate letter from INAC talks about reconciliation and again, to reiterate, one of the ways for reconciliation is through economic opportunities. I hope through the work that we do with you guys together in the socioeconomic realm, we can strengthen those relationships and provide the opportunities to you.

It's going to be a bumpy road, but we can do it together I think. We just have to continue to have those open dialogues, those discussions, and as a Project, we

just need to continue to support where we can and provide in some instances, funding or help with creating relationships with other people that can help along the way. So I am committed, as I'm sure the Project is as well to continuing to foster that relationship with you guys in a meaningful way, and continuing to work towards reconciliation as a whole for the Project. I'm here to say that we're committed to doing that with you guys. Thank you.

Johanne: Thank you, Aaron.

Kathy: Any other comments from anyone else on this topic?

(Pause)

I just want to say thank you for bringing that up, Johanne. Like I said, our Board has been talking about that a lot, about reconciliation and the many different ways that it can be carried out. It was good to hear an update that you're looking into things. I think that would be that idea of – that facilitated session where you have a neutral party that facilitates – that would be really positive. But I'm also hearing that it's not just a one hit wonder. There are a lot of different ways to achieve reconciliation. So I like the idea of keeping it at top-of-mind, and I think if I could speak on behalf of the Board, we'd like to be kept in the loop of any of those efforts. If we think of things too that can help or make recommendations in that way, we will certainly participate.

Johanne: I just have maybe one last question, and maybe it's posed to the face of the Project, which is Natalie Plato. Every time I talk about reconciliation, there is not much that comes out of...I'd like to know where she's at with reconciliation, because it's quite silent on that end when we talk about that.

Natalie: Sure, Johanne. I am a Project Team member with Aaron, Erika, and Lisa here today, and we all stand united. I support everything they just said. We support reconciliation. Everything that Aaron said, I think he nailed it. I think Erika nailed it, and I stand behind that.

Johanne: Thank you.

Erika: Kathy, you said you guys have brainstormed and talked about ways to think about reconciliation. I'm just curious how – absolutely we'll keep you in the loop. Do you see it as opportunities to give us feedback in terms of initiatives we're doing, or is there some sort of sharing of what you guys have talked about or some ideas that you would share with the rest of the Parties at a certain time? I'm just curious about what you guys have talked about. There are a lot of smart people here and a lot of experience. This is all new to all of us, and so I'm just looking to learn what some of the ideas might be.

Kathy: I think...Like I said, we've been meeting all week, so we had a few days to think about all the things that were at a gut level that were really worrying us and where recommendations might be going or what ideas we had. Then we decided with our meeting with the Project Team yesterday and today, we wanted to get more information. We wanted to hear more from the Project Team, and we wanted to hear more from the other parties as well about how they were feeling about things before we settled on some ideas.

So I don't know that we have anything in particular - and I'll look to my other Board members who are welcome to speak up. I don't have a magic solution today; just that it was top-of-mind, and we've had a lot of discussions, and we're still collecting information, and we want to hear from people. That's as far as we got. It looks like Mr. No Regrets wants to speak.

(Laughter)

David: I may regret this, but more to the point, you guys may regret it. I hadn't thought of this example until recently. Lisa and I worked on the Colomac Project, and we didn't call it reconciliation, but it was an exercise in reconciliation. You know, Colomac is an abandoned gold mine, the same owner as Giant Mine, but the process that Lisa and I undertook to deal with Colomac was very different than the one that was followed with the Giant Mine. We engaged with the Tlîchô from the outset and ensured that they had the capacity to be fully engaged as we designed the remediation project and as we implemented it.

I saw an article just recently about the follow-up to that, the research and monitoring that's being done by Tlîchô at the site. A big part of it was not just capacity building, but economic opportunity. We didn't call it, as I said, reconciliation, but in effect it was. That was a very painful experience for the Tlîchô to have that project in their backyard, particularly the tailings, the contamination of the water bodies and so on, and the loss of good trapping grounds. And there was minimal economic opportunity while the mine was operating. So it's an analogous situation.

I hear what you're saying, and it has been a concern of mine, but I hadn't thought of the Colomac experience as an exercise in reconciliation until just moments ago. But that, in effect, was what it was, and maybe there are some lessons there that we could follow.

Lisa: Thank you, Johanne. The reason I came over to the table is I just wanted to have a quick chat with Natalie. One of things is I think it would be helpful for us to talk a little bit more about reconciliation with you. It's interesting: I ran across a bishop recently, and she is doing a Ph.D. in reconciliation with Aboriginal people

in the church. I was exploring with her what reconciliation is and how reconciliation comes about. What she said to me: The first thing is listening. So I think taking that mind and absorbing what she shared with me, maybe there's an opportunity for you to meet with Natalie and me. I want to understand a little bit more.

Reconciliation is a word, but it has a lot more meaning to that, so I think maybe one of the first steps is maybe Natalie and I can meet with you and whoever you feel is appropriate, and we can talk a little bit more about what that means and how we consider that in the Project going forward. So I'd like to just offer that today.

Johanne: I really appreciate that. I think it will be beneficial for us to start working together, the three of us, and begin talking about it. Reconciliation to me – it's also new to me as well. Usually when it comes to reconciliation, the people that are talking about reconciliation are the older folks in the community, but I'm just voicing their concerns.

But noticing that I'm also a member that has been impacted as a result of Giant, and knowing that growing up in the community and the struggles that we've had in the community growing up with very little assistance from the outside to deal with the pressures upon us, I guess even personally myself, I think reconciliation is necessary as an individual too, not just as a community as a whole. Thank you.

Lisa: Thank you, Johanne. It's important for us to understand that perspective, and I hope that this is a start of further discussions with us. Natalie and I are committed to meeting with you and having those discussions, listening and understanding, and seeing what we can do.

Ginger: I was wondering if the parties would consider putting reconciliation as a permanent agenda item on our parties' meeting that we hold semi-annually.

Lisa: We would be supportive of that.

Kathy: Well I'm not seeing anyone shaking their head vigorously 'no,' so I'm going to assume...

(Laughter)

Good. That doesn't solve the problem, but it would at least make sure it's always on the table. As Johanne says, time flies. We all have good intentions, but we're all busy, so it would be a way to make sure that we always think about it and address it, and when we come to meetings, we come armed with either ideas or with stories of how it's being enacted. That could be very useful. I would see

that in our Semi-Annual Meeting of the parties, as opposed to the AGM necessarily, but we're all here. Does that sound okay with everyone?

Lisa: Yeah, and just something that popped in my head here. Even having it as something of opportunity to remember, or even just acknowledging it is part of reconciliation...So having it as an agenda item for us to all be in the same room and acknowledge, this is important is part of reconciliation. It is absolutely fitting to have it as a standing agenda for us, to respect that.

Ken F: I'll make one comment that could come later perhaps. As a Board – I'll speak for myself but also as our perspective as a Board – we want to look back at portions of this, at chunks of this Project. I don't know how long I'll be involved, maybe 100 years. Who knows how long I'll live...

(Laughter)

To look back and say this Project has made the community better. For me, that means holistically better: relationships, economic developments, the fabric of the community in the City and in the First Nations communities, and the region as a whole, that it's better. That means the interrelationships of many different things, right? I think in terms of public and community health and wellbeing. So that is our goal as a Board. It's very important to many of us. That does fit in and is part of reconciliation, and just know that you have our support.

### **Timeframe Confirmation of Next Year's AGM**

Kathy: Well thank you, everyone. This AGM turned out to be more interesting than I foresaw or foreshadowed. I really appreciate that discussion. Is there anything else to do with additional business at this time? No? Okay, then Agenda Item 7 is the next meeting. Same time next year-ish?

(Laughter)

So, yeah keep your calendars wide open. We're hoping again for November of next year. Go ahead, Johanne.

Johanne: Regarding the addition of an agenda item to future meetings - GMOB meetings, AGM meetings - which is the topic of reconciliation, was that a motion?

Kathy: Actually what I think we were thinking was that it would be more appropriate in the Semi-Annual Meeting of the Parties, which is our next meeting.

Johanne: Oh, okay.

Kathy: It was fine to talk about it now. It was good, but that's what I was thinking, because that's where we get more into things.

Johanne: Yes.

Kathy: So let's bring it up at the next...in 15 minutes. That sound okay?

Johanne: Yes. Thank you.

Kathy: Great. Okay, so if there is nothing else for the AGM, then could I get a motion to adjourn the meeting?

Lisa: I've never motioned a meeting to be adjourned yet, so I'd like to be the first to motion it. Lisa Dyer moves that the AGM be adjourned.

Mark: I'll second that.

Kathy: Okay, great. So we're just going to take a 10-minute break, because Ben wants to change up the meeting materials. So go fill your cups up and come right back.

**AGM ADJOURNED**

---



Dr. Kathleen Racher  
Chair, Giant Mine Oversight Board



Date



## **MOTIONS**

**Motion: Moved:** Mark Heyck moved to approve the agenda.

**Seconded:** Ken Froese

**Motion carried.**

**Motion: Moved:** Natalie Plato moved to approve the GMOB AGM  
November 9, 2016 Meeting Minutes

**Seconded:** Ginger Stones

**Motion carried.**

**Motion: Moved:** Mark Heyck moved to approve GMOB 2016-2017 Financial Statements.

**Seconded:** Ken Froese

**Motion carried.**

**Motion: Moved:** Lisa Dyer moved to adjourn the GMOB AGM

**Seconded:** Mark Heyck

**Motion carried.**

## **ACTION ITEMS**

1. Addition of "reconciliation" as a permanent agenda item for future Semi-Annual meetings.