

Box 1602, 5014-50th Avenue Yellowknife, NT X1A 2P2 Ph. 867-675-0788 Fax 867-675-0789

Ms. Natalie Plato Deputy Director Giant Mine Remediation Project Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada

Lisa Dyer Director Environment Division Department of Environment and Natural Resources Government of the Northwest Territories

February 03, 2017

Final Response Table for Review of the 2015-2016 Annual Report Giant Mine Remediation Project

The Giant Mine Oversight Board (GMOB) has reviewed the Giant Mine Remediation Project Team's (GMRPT) response to the review recommendations made by GMOB for the 2015-2016 Annual Report of the Giant Mine Remediation Project (GMRP).

Please find attached the final response table and note that an assessment of the overall progress of the Project will form part of GMOB's annual report due in late March or early April, 2017.

In closing, we would like to thank the Project Team for your timely responsiveness. If you have any questions in this regard, please feel free to contact me directly or through the GMOB office.

Sincerely,

14MM Rul

Dr. Kathy Racher Chair, Giant Mine Oversight Board

Attachment cc. Parties to the Environmental Agreement

#	Subject	GMOB Observation	GMOB Recommendation (November 3, 2016)	GMRP Response (December 12, 2016)	GMOB Response (February 3, 2017)
1	Plain Language Summary	The Agreement requires that the report contain a plain language summary. The Report Summary, on pages 8-11, is very technical and cannot, in our opinion, be classified as a "plain language" summary. A stand-alone, plain language summary document would be very beneficial for distribution to the Parties to the Agreement and the general public.	The GMRP should either revise the language of the Report Summary next year so that it is more accessible to readers at all levels of technical knowledge and/or that it provide a standalone plain language summary document. The latter document could be produced independently from the Annual Report and be made available for wider public distribution.	The GMRP will provide a plain language summary of this report for GMOB, as a template for future summaries that will form part of the report but also be available as a stand- alone document for wider circulation.	We look forward to reviewing the plain language summary and note the commitment by the GMRPT to include it in the 2016-2017 Giant Mine Annual Report.
2	Reporting Cycle	The reporting cycle for the Annual Report covers activities that took place from April 2015-March 2016 with mention of some activities that took place in 2014. As the Annual Report was provided to GMOB in October 2016 and we are now at the end of the field season for 2016, the reporting is one year behind the Project's activities. The nature of the current reporting cycle means that there is little opportunity for Parties to use the Annual Report information as a basis for making recommendations for the next year's Project activities.	GMOB would like discuss with the Project Team how best to maximize the utility of the Annual Report. For example, one way to address the reporting cycle issue might be through the presentation of a preliminary project report in May of each year so that feedback from GMOB and the community could be applied adequately to the following year's planning cycle.	The Annual Report is intended to be a more comprehensive description of project activities and outcomes; there is no way to have a comprehensive report on a fiscal year basis any earlier, due to when information comes in, can be collated, organized and summarized and then approved. Our intention with sharing our 5-year work plan (with a focus on the upcoming fiscal year) with GMOB prior to each field season is to provide an opportunity for recommendations for upcoming activities.	The provision of a 5- year work plan along with the regular Project updates in the Working Group meetings may mitigate GMOB's concerns in this regard. Note that GMOB will be making further recommendations with respect to this issue in the GMOB Establishment Report to be released in early 2017.

		There is no Project Plan included in the	An Annual Project Plan be included in	Our approved Annual Work Plan will be	Attachment of the approved Annual
		Annual Report.	the Annual Report.	attached as an	Work Plan as an
3	Annual Project Plan	Without it, the process for reporting progress is not meaningful. The approved working plan is necessary so that cross-referencing of what was planned and what was delivered can be clearly followed and explained. The Annual Report, as it stands now, does not allow for an assessment of actual schedule performance against a baseline schedule on a multi-year basis. For example, does the current project status align with plans that were established 3 or 5 years ago? If not, why?		Appendix in future reports	appendix in future reports along with the GMRPT's commitment to provide a 5-year work plan may mitigate the concerns expressed by GMOB on this issue. Note that GMOB will be making further recommendations with respect to an overall Project Plan in the GMOB Establishment Report to be released in early 2017.
4	Performance Measures	There are no stated performance measures included in the Annual Report. Information in the Annual Report is listed as "objectives", either "commitments" or "vision". Without performance measures, it is hard to know whether mitigation measures are working as intended or expected.	Quantifiable performance measures should be further developed and included in the Annual Report.	Quantifiable performance measures will be developed for the implementation phase of the project. At this stage, while the remediation plan is still being developed, any performance targets are qualitative in nature. Also, the Department is updating its Performance Measurement framework in line with GoC objectives and so Giant will be updating its own performance targets to align with this initiative. In the	GMOB respectfully disagrees that it is not possible to define quantifiable performance measures prior to the initiation of full site remediation. Further recommendations on this topic will be provided in the GMOB Establishment Report to be released in early 2017.

					,
				meantime, specific	
				in- year performance	
				targets will continue	
				to be monitored (i.e.	
				project team	
				performance in	
				meeting the goals	
				established in the	
				annual Detailed Work	
				Plan.	
		The project	A further breakdown	GMRP will in the	GMOB looks forward
		expenditure figures	of the project	future provide a	to reviewing the
		are provided in the	expenditure figures as	similar breakdown as	GMRPT's proposed
		Annual Report as only	well as a multi-year	the FCSAP categories	breakdown and/or
		a lump sum figure and	trend analysis of the	(Care & Maintenance,	annex prior to
		there is no	total cost estimate of	Regulatory,	incorporation into the
		comparison of	spending versus	Consultation,	next Annual Report.
		planned versus actual	budget would be	Investigation &	next Annual Report.
		expenditures.	helpful to include in	Assessment,	
		experiatures.	future annual reports.	Remediation,	
5	Expanditures		The latter item should	-	
5	Expenditures			Monitoring, Program	
			include a justification	Management),	
			for any significant	planned vs. actual.	
			variances. The cost vs.	GMRP will explore	
			budget trend analysis	options to create a	
			would help us to	separate annex for	
			understand if and	more detailed budget	
			where there may be	information, one that	
			issues with scope	would not be	
			creep, schedule	released for general	
			slippage, etc.	consumption.	
		Contrary to what is	There are many	GMRP will examine	GMOB requests that
		stated in the Annual	potential datasets	ways to consult with	the GMRPT define
		Report, we do feel	that could be	the parties on how	how and when it
		that there is more	analyzed for trends	best to look at trend	plans to consult with
		than adequate	but likely only a few	analysis through the	the parties on this
		historical information	key analyses would be	working group.	issue such that there
		collected by the	truly useful. We		will be sufficient time
6	Trends	Project that could be	recommend that the		to incorporate
		analyzed for trends	Project Team consult		changes into the
		(e.g., water quality,	with the Parties to the		2016-2017 Giant Mine
		health and safety	Agreement as to what		Annual Report.
		indicators,	datasets should be		Please update GMOB
		engagement, socio-	analyzed for trend		as soon as possible
		economic measures,	reporting in the		with specifics of how
		and regulatory	Annual Report.		the GMRPT will
		compliance).			address this.
		The Annual Report	The Air Quality	Agreed	GMOB will look for
		refers to the Air	Monitoring Plan		this reference in the
7	Air	Quality Monitoring	should be referenced		2016-2017 Giant Mine
		Program and there are	in the Annual Report		Annual Report.
		very helpful links to			-1
L	I				

			والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجع		1
		the monitoring data	and a link to the plan		
		online. However,	provided.		
		there is no reference			
		or link provided to the			
		current Air Quality			
		Monitoring Plan. As a			
		result, an assessment			
		of the basis for the			
		current monitoring			
		program is not			
		possible.			
		The report includes	It would be helpful if	Future reports will	GMOB requests
		information on the	the Annual Report	have an expanded	further discussion
		outfall	could provide a	sections, or provide	with the GMRPT with
		design/location, the	roadmap and timeline	links/references to	respect to what kinds
		potential re-routing of	as to how the outfall	more detailed	of routine water
		Baker Creek, and the	design, the re-routing	information.	monitoring data could
		design of the new	of Baker Creek, the		be made available on
		Effluent Treatment	ETP design and the		an ongoing basis and
		Plant (ETP) and the	development of		how this information
		development of the	SSWQO relate to each		would be shared.
		site-specific water	other and how the		GMOB will also look
		quality objectives	Project team plans to		for these proposed
		(SSWQO) but there is	sequence work on		changes in the 2016-
		no clear explanation	these items. The		2017 Giant Mine
		of how these	GMRP should work		Annual Report.
		important items relate	with the Parties to		
		or how work on these	develop a way of		
8	Water	items will be	sharing key water		
		sequenced. In	monitoring data as is		
		addition, we note that	done for air quality.		
		unlike the air quality	All operational details,		
		monitoring results,	such as the dredge		
		the GMRP does not	removal, should be		
		seem to have any way	followed through in		
		of sharing water	future Annual		
		quality monitoring	Reports.		
		results from routine or			
		special studies. Finally,			
		there is mention of a			
		settling pond dredge			
		being removed but we			
		were unable to find			
		mention of how the			
		dredge was managed			
		after removal.			
		In the section on	As written, it is not	Future reports will	GMOB will look for
		Biodiversity, there	clear what the	describe how the	these proposed
_		does not seem to be a	objective of	monitoring results	changes in the 2016-
9	Biodiversity	direct link between	monitoring	are incorporated into	2017 Giant Mine
		monitoring results and	wildlife/birds is or	work planning (i.e.	Annual Report.
		actions for	what mitigations or	considerations when	
L	l				1

		hindiversity	plane this manitarin-	planning physical	
		biodiversity components. For	plans this monitoring will inform. It would	planning physical work at the site) as	
		wildlife and birds, the	be helpful if there was	well as how the	
		actions are to consider	a more systematic	results will be	
		results or	way to link the results	incorporated into the	
		recommendations in	of monitoring to	overall remediation	
		the future for	corrective actions or	planning and	
		remediation design	to design planning.	execution.	
		but no further detail is	to design planning.		
		provided. For EEM			
		monitoring, the only			
		action listed is to			
		continue monitoring.			
		Much of the work to	No specific	Acknowledged	We look forward to
		date in this section of	recommendation;		reviewing the
		the Annual Report	however, we will be		proposed soil
		deals with soil	very interested in		remediation plan,
		sampling and	reviewing the		including the
		characterization,	proposed soil		development of soil
10	Land	which will inform a	remediation plan,		criteria, as this aspect
		remediation plan.	including the		of the Project moves
			development of soil		forward.
			criteria, as this aspect		
			of the Project moves		
			forward.		
		In the section on	The Annual Report	Future reports will	GMOB will look for
		Health and Safety in	should include a	describe how to the	these proposed
		the Annual Report, it	section on the	monitoring results	changes in the 2016-
		would be helpful to	effectiveness of the	have improved our	2017 Giant Mine
		have an analysis on	measures used to	Health & Safety	Annual Report.
		the effectiveness of	address the	practices at the site,	
		the measures used to	exceedances of	our understanding of	
		address the exceedances of	urinalysis tests for present onsite	arsenic risks as they relate to on-site	
		urinalysis tests for	workers.	workers, as well as	
11	Health	onsite workers. The	WUIKEIS.	how the results will	
		current work in the		be incorporated into	
		next year on the		the overall	
		Human Health Risk		remediation planning	
		Assessment and the		and execution.	
		Health Effects			
		Monitoring Program			
		will be very important			
		elements that GMOB			
		will be tracking.			
		The number of	This section of the	Future reports will	GMOB will look for
		attendees at	Annual Report could	describe engagement	these proposed
	Community	engagement events is	to be strengthened	particulars, and how	changes in the 2016-
12	and	not very meaningful	by, for example,	these are shared	2017 Giant Mine
	Engagement	without an analysis of	including an analysis	within the project for	Annual Report. The
		the concerns	of concerns identified	consideration when	GMRPT may also want
		identified by the	during engagement	conducting work,	to consider

		attendees and what will/is being done to address these concerns. The efforts to heal the legacy issues created by the long history of the mine, as well as previous Project activities, also remain to be addressed. Perhaps it is beyond the scope of the Project Team to deal with activities outside the immediate remediation but it is within the purview of the Co-Proponents to undertake a long-term proactive community based healing process.	and how those concerns are being addressed.	planning activities, and incorporated into lessons learned and the overall remediation planning and execution.	responding to the concerns GMOB has summarized in the "What We Heard" section of the GMOB Establishment Report to be released in early 2017. It would be beneficial to all parties if there were a formal mechanism to record concerns raised as well as follow-up or responses.
13	Employment	The employment data provided in the Annual Report does not provide sufficient detail to allow analysis. For example, the figures provided are not clear as to whether each group reported is separate and distinct from each other. There is little information on the number of contractors, value of contracts and jobs created etc.	The Annual Report should provide more detailed information on employment, contractors and value of contracts as well as any other information linked to direct socio- economic activity. The Project team should consult with GMOB and the Parties about exactly what kinds of information would be most useful to report on.	This is very difficult metric to report on, as all reporting is voluntary. But we can commit to working with GMOB and Parties to try to improve on reporting. There are provisions within the future Main Construction Manager contract that should improve the quality of data we get on socio- economic aspects of the project.	GMOB continues to believe that its recommendation is achievable by the GMRPT and will make itself available to work with the GMRPT on this issue. GMOB also requests that the GMRPT define how and when it plans to consult with the parties on this issue so that there will be sufficient time to incorporate changes into the 2016-2017 Giant Mine Annual Report. Please update GMOB as soon as possible with specifics of how the GMRPT will address this issue
14	Training	It is not clear, if the training information in the Annual Report is comprehensive or only drawn from contractors who are	The Project team should consider including a section in the report that describes the overall socio-economics of	Additional clarity will be provided in future reports. There are provisions within the future Main Construction	GMOB will look for these proposed changes in the 2016- 2017 Giant Mine Annual Report. Note that GMOB will be

		required to deliver Health and Safety and Orientation sessions to their workers. The Project itself could be much more proactive in its efforts to identify how and what local training, employment opportunities and economic spinoffs to the community were maximized.	the Project including, for example, comprehensive and measurable local training and employment initiatives as well as secondary economic effects of the project.	Manager contract that should improve the quality of data we get on socio- economic aspects of the project.	making further recommendations with respect to this issue in the GMOB Establishment Report to be released in early 2017.
15	Traditional Knowledge	There is no mention of consultations regarding traditional knowledge or the incorporation of any traditional knowledge for project activities in the Annual Report. This is an important aspect of the Project team's community consultation and project planning.	A specific section reporting on consultation and incorporation of traditional knowledge should be included in the Annual Report.	Agreed	GMOB will look for these proposed changes in the 2016- 2017 Giant Mine Annual Report
16	Off-Site Considerations	The surface contamination that is present within the Project boundaries extends to off- site locations. The Annual Report does not indicate how the Project Team is working with the applicable authorities to ensure the effective and consistent management of both off and onsite contamination.	The Annual Report should describe how the Project Team is working with applicable authorities to ensure the effective and consistent management of both off and on site contamination.	This can be included in future annual reports as part of our report on engagement. The Project does not lead on this, but is a participant in discussions through the INAC NWT Regional Office.	GMOB understands that the GMRPT is not itself responsible for the off-site issues; however, the Co- Proponents are responsible. Note that GMOB will be making further recommendations with respect to this issue in the GMOB Establishment Report to be released in early 2017.GMOB will look for these proposed changes in the 2016- 2017 Giant Mine Annual Report.
17	Emergency Measures	The Annual Report does not reflect what the criteria is for work to be categorized as an emergency measure versus care	The Annual Report should provide the criteria and rationale used to categorize on- site activities that are deemed to be of an	Agreed	GMOB will look for these proposed changes in the 2016- 2017 Giant Mine Annual Report

		and maintenance. GMOB would like to understand how the Project makes these determinations. There is also no mention in the Annual Report of any Emergency Preparedness Plan to deal with potential system or structural failures.	emergency measure and describe or provide a link to an Emergency Preparedness Plan.		
18	Plans to Incorporate New Remediation Technologies in the Future	There is no information in the Annual Report explaining how the Project Team intends to address potential changes in remediation technologies, techniques, or processes that may be recommended because of the research program currently undertaken by GMOB. For example, how will the Project Team's remediation plan address future access to stopes and access to the site for possible arsenic trioxide remediation?	The Annual Report should outline the process and actions taken to address any potential changes in remediation technologies, techniques, or processes that may be recommended as a result of the research program currently undertaken by GMOB.	Additional detail will be provided in future reports, in the context of remediation planning.	GMOB looks forward to seeing how the GMRPT will incorporate additional detail about how future changes in remediation technologies will be accommodated. GMOB expects that the 2016-2017 Giant Mine Annual Report will begin to address this issue.